



**\* communism is treason! \* fight it with common sense!**

The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

# Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

**SUBSCRIPTION RATES:**

Plain envelope, unsealed... \$1.  
Plain envelope, sealed... \$3.  
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos) \$1.

**"The Truth, the whole Truth,  
and nothing but the Truth"**

Without fear or favor,  
*Conrad A. Guley*  
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

Issue No. 348 (15th Year)

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Sept. 1, 1960

Entered as Second Class Matter  
January 27, 1948, at the Post Office at Union, N. J.,  
under the Act of March 3, 1879

FIVE CENTS

## STEVENSON - REDS' CHOICE FOR SECRETARY OF STATE MAY PICK UP WHERE PAL ALGER HISS LEFT OFF

Why would Jack Kennedy as President be a dangerous man? It is not entirely because of the fact his mind was trained in Socialist-communist dominated schools — The London School of Economics and Harvard. You can well judge a man by his associates. The average citizen is not equipped to run down the red records of Kennedy's advisors and close associates upon whom he depends.

Common Sense is equipped with files and has the availability of looking into their records and has done so. Space will not permit us to give a complete rundown on each, however, our Issues No. 344 and No. 345 give enough information to justify the belief that should Kennedy be elected he will open wide the gates to pro-communists, Socialists, refugee-Marxists as FDR did. Hence we will take a big step toward total Communism.

When Anna Rosenberg was Assistant Secretary of Defense in charge of all manpower in 1950, she supervised the hiring of over a million people in 115 departments. When Senator McCarthy began to uncover them he was destroyed.

Adlai Stevenson has been the candidate of 98% of Jews and all communists. The New York Herald Tribune of August 15, 1960, carried a column by Joseph Alsop in which he tells of his experience in calling at the homes of 47 Jews in White Plains, N.Y. He was told that Nixon had been a "dirty word" in these households, that they were angry at Kennedy because he rigged the Convention and prevented Stevenson from being nominated, but because of their hatred of Nixon, and because of Kennedy's plan to place Stevenson in a strategic spot, the Jews in New York City would give Kennedy the heaviest Democratic vote ever.

"The Southern Israelite" of Atlanta, Georgia, August 12, 1960, carries an article by Dr. Emanuel Newmann, President of Zionist Organization of America who stated:

"Recently, as in other election years", he noted, "some Jewish spokesmen have announced to the world that there is no Jewish vote. It baffles me to understand why such gratuitous pronouncements are made. The Jewish vote is an unorganized but potent reality. Assertions to the contrary are inaccurate and misleading and convince nobody, least of all practical politicians and molders of public opinion."

Jack Anderson writing for Drew Pearson in the Pearson Column of August 6, 1960, says:

"At a private breakfast before the Convention, Kennedy told Drew and me that Stevenson deserves the first offer to serve as Secretary of State."

This has the full approval of Khrushchev as he told Joe Curran, President of National Maritime Union who just returned from Moscow:

**"HE WOULD MAKE A CLEVER  
SECRETARY OF STATE."  
— KHRUSHCHEV**



Adlai Stevenson

"I admire Stevenson greatly. He is a sincere, serious-minded man with a great intellect. He would make a clever Secretary of State for the United States."

The New York News with the largest circulation in America, in their editorial August 22, states:

"Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt in supporting Kennedy reached this difficult decision chiefly because Sen. Kennedy assured her that he plans to 'work closely on foreign affairs during the campaign' with Adlai Stevenson. As we get it Kennedy is to take lessons from Adlai on how best to formulate U.S. foreign policy."

### GOD HELP US.

We have given you the communist side of Kennedy's possible election. Catholics, can expect a worse mess than Castro brought to Cuba. Castro was backed by eleven Cath-

olic groups. Now priests are fleeing for their lives. Castro is not a communist — but the communists who helped put him in power have taken him and Cuba over.

The Catholics in the United States will have such embarrassment that a sterling Catholic could not be elected in 100 years.

### STEVENSON'S RED RECORD

(1) Adlai Stevenson entered government service in 1933 when he was called to Washington by Henry Wallace to work in the legal division of the AAA, where he was associated with Alger Hiss. Hiss was at that time a part of the Hal Ware Cell which operated from the AAA legal division. From 1944 to 1947, in connection with the founding and first meetings of the United Nations, Stevenson resumed his friendship and collaboration with Alger Hiss. In the Hiss trial, the defense felt sure enough of Stevenson's attitude to seek — and get — his deposition as to Hiss' reputation for integrity, loyalty and veracity. (The Case Against Adlai Stevenson, by James Burnham, American Mercury, Oct. 1952, p. 17. — source hereafter listed as 'A'.)

(2) Archibald MacLeish, who has an extensive record of affiliations with communist fronts, brought Stevenson into the State Department and thus into contact with the U.N. (Stevenson's biography, p. 77)

(3) Claude Pepper, another long-time communist-fronter, arranged Stevenson's first active meeting with Franklin D. Roosevelt. (A, p. 17)

(4) The tenant of Stevenson's farm in 1952 was Marshall Field III, the angel and publisher of the notorious New York Compass, an outright pro-Soviet organ. (A, p. 17)

(5) Wilson Wyatt, former head of the Americans For Democratic Action, a revolutionary organization of political Marxists, was chosen by Stevenson as his personal campaign manager during his bid for the Presidency. (A, p. 17)

(6) Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., a former vice-chairman of the A.D.A., columnist for the N.Y. POST, was chosen by Stevenson as his research director. Schlesinger is married to the sister of John K. Fairbank, of Harvard, the Office of Strategic Services, the Office of War Information, Amerasia, and the Institute of Pacific Relations. Schlesinger has personally and politically supported Fairbank — of whom it has been testified under oath that he was a member of the communist party. The Military Occupation in Japan in 1951 denied Fairbank an entry permit — later granted by the State Department. Schlesinger refused to turn his back on the convicted communist perjurer, William Remington, and did not dissociate himself from the I.P.R. (A, p. 17)

(7) Bernard De Voto, another speech writer for Stevenson, attacked the F.B.I.

### PATRIOT PASSES ON

Our sincere sympathies to the friends and family of W. L. Foster, a sterling Christian patriot who gave unselfishly in the service of his country, who died August 22nd.

Mr. Foster was Chairman of the UNITED STATES DAY COMMITTEE since its inception.

Please continue to support this committee who will continue to function as in the past. Correspondence, material and orders pertaining to UNITED STATES DAY which is October 23rd should be sent to —

UNITED STATES DAY COMMITTEE  
Box 253, Tulsa, OKLAHOMA



# Development of Christ-Lacking Prayers with Divergent Views of Two Bishops

By Franklin Hichborn, Santa Clara, Calif.

The American Jewish Yearbook for 1948-49, prepared by the American Jewish Committee, contains the following item:

**"International Conference of Christians and Jews: — A promising step forward in fighting anti-Semitism on a world-wide scale was taken in Switzerland last August when 60 delegates of Christian and Jewish organizations from the United States, Australia and European countries met to formulate plans for an International Conference of Christians and Jews. Dr. Samuel H. Flowerman of our staff represented the Committee at the meeting. The conference unanimously agreed on the necessity for a permanent organization and on a proposal to revise Christian religious teaching, particularly the story of the Crucifixion, in such a manner as to reduce the danger of implanting anti-Semitism in the minds of the young."**

Long before that Switzerland meeting, Christians had endeavored to eliminate "anti-Semitism" from their prayers. For example: The ancient liturgical text used in Good Friday services read, "Oremus pro perfidis Judaeis" (Let us pray for the perfidious Jews). Recent Pontiffs amended it to read, "Let us pray for the unbelieving Jews". Pope John deleted the word "unbelieving", leaving the prayer to read, "Let us pray for the Jews".

Americans of the Jewish faith, who have dropped the hyphen and have become Americans in fact as well as in name, who, as Mr. Benjamin H. Freedman put it, "wish only to live and die as good Americans", (see *Economic Council Letter* for October 15, 1947), held that the Pope's fine example should be met by deleting offensive references to Christians from Jewish prayers.

"The Jewish News Letter", edited and published by such Americans of the Jewish faith, cited two such prayers: "Blessed be Thou, O Lord, that Thou hast not created me a Goy (Gentile)" and "Pour out Thy wrath upon the nations that do not know Thee", — a passage in the Hagadah which is recited every Passover at the Seder services.

The News Letter stated that such prayers "should not be in any Jewish, nor any other, prayer book"; that "some Jewish groups have deleted them", and comments:

"Rabbi Modceel Kaplan, the leader of Conservative Judaism, was formally excommunicated ten years ago by the Orthodox rabbinate for having the courage to drop those references from the Conservative prayer book." (See *Jewish News Letter* for April 20, 1959.)

However interesting and worthy these recent concessions to tolerance, the fact remains that fully a half-century before Jews and Christians got together to promote brotherhood and tone down unpleasant references to Jews in the New Testament, a determined drive was on to delete the name of Jesus Christ from public prayers, and has continued vigorously for more than sixty years down to the present day.

In 1897, I was one of a staff of five that covered the California State Legislature for the *San Francisco Examiner* (Hearst's paper). I found Senator Edward I. Wolfe, a Jew, urging that the Chaplain of the Senate omit Christ's name from his prayer on the ground that the Senator could not enter into the prayer if Christ's name were mentioned.

The incident seemed to me to be out of the ordinary and therefore news. I sent the story to *The Examiner*.

It was not printed. Instead, came a sharp letter from the then Managing Editor, Andrew Lawrence, warning me that The



SUBJECT OF ATTACK

*Examiner* did not attack any man's religion, and it wanted no more such stories.

It occurred to me that if any man's religion were under attack, it was my own. However, I observed Senator Wolfe for the next ten years urged the Senate Chaplains to eliminate Christ from their prayers, without mentioning the matter in my dispatches, although I was representing other papers than *The Examiner*.

In 1907, however, Wolfe's efforts got into the papers. The Protestant pulpits entered vigorous denunciation. The Rev. Cecil Marrack, Rector of St. Stephen's Church (Episcopalian) of San Francisco, in a widely-published sermon, denounced Wolfe's effort as a "crowning act of infamy", declaring:

"But now there comes the crowning infamy of all — an infamy so great that it demands instant and burning condemnation from every man or woman who feels a personal concern about the upbuilding of a Christian civilization in this frontier city of a Christian commonwealth."

"I refer to the action of Senator Wolfe of San Francisco in demanding that henceforth the Chaplain of the Senate refrain from any mention of the Saviour of the world — the founder of our Christian civilization."

Bishop V.H. Moreland of Sacramento, in a sermon in Trinity Church, that city, commented:

"It is a strange thing that in the United States, a land famous for religious liberty, and here in California, where even the Buddhist and the Hindoo are free to worship according to their conscience, there should have broken out such an exhibition of intolerance as lately disgraced the Senate of this State."

"The Chaplain of the Senate, a Christian minister, is asked to omit the name of Jesus Christ from his prayers, lest it offend the susceptibilities of Jews in the audience. The Chaplain should have declined or resigned at once. He could have said:

"No; I was known to be a minister of Christ when I was chosen in this office. I will not remain in it another moment if I am not left perfectly free to voice my convictions, to pray according to the dictates of my conscience. You are asking me to deny Jesus Christ for four dollars a day. (Senate Chaplains were then paid four dollars a day.) If I do it, I am no better than Judas, who betrayed him for thirty pieces of silver."

"I have a great respect for the Jewish religion, but also a great respect for the principle of religious freedom. I would see no great incongruity

in inviting a Jewish rabbi to act as Chaplain of the Senate, and should he do so, I believe there is not a Christian in that body who would demand that he trim down or modify a single one of his convictions. If the name of Jesus Christ is to be eliminated at the request of the Hebrew, then the name of God may be omitted at the request of the agnostic; then the prayer itself may be eliminated at the request of the infidel. If the Chaplain is a hired official, whose theology is to be regulated or colored by individual legislators, let the office be abolished.

"If he is permitted to make no reference to divine truth except such residuum as may be left after the prejudices of each Senator have been considered, then he is not a man but a slave."

"If the office is a mere sop to tradition or convention, and legislators feel themselves to be so perfect in righteousness and beyond all temptation as to have no need of prayer, then away with such a solemn farce. But if a Christian minister is to be asked to pray, let him alone. The value of the prayer is its reality. The value of a man is his sincerity. If I were a legislator, I should honor above all other names of history the name of Him who has been the Supreme Legislator of human liberties — the One most successful emancipator and deliverer of the human race." (See *Sacramento Union* for January 21, 1907.)

In this way did Christian pulpits respond fifty years ago, to the suggestion that the name of Christ be eliminated from our public prayers.

Rising to a question of personal privilege, Senator Wolfe replied to his critics. He charged that Rev. Marrack had attacked not only him but the entire Senate; that he had great respect for Rev. Marrack's church and its members; that Rev. Marrack had misquoted him. However, I had heard such statements from Senator Wolfe (R) for ten years, and his denial was not very convincing. He admitted, what was the crux of the controversy, that for ten years (1897-1907) he, with other Jews, "had waited on the Senate Chaplain and approached him in a respectful manner"; asked him "if he could so modify his prayers from a sectarian standpoint, that all of the members of the Senate for whom he prayed and whom he represented might pray with him"; that some Chaplains, whom he named, "had complied". Senator Wolfe concluded with:

"I shall take the liberty, Sir, of sending a copy of this address to the Rev. Cecil Marrack, and await his reply, and a copy to Bishop Moreland of this city."

"I want to say a word about his Grace, Bishop Moreland, of this city."

—o— Please turn to page 4 —o—

## NUMBER ONE MOVE FOR STOPPING THE MARXIST PLOTTERS

Help in any way you can to strengthen COMMON SENSE and secure every new reader possible so that we are a coordinated group of many thousands with one strong voice demanding patriotic action in Washington.

Are we going to drift along while the communist marxist plot closes in on us? How about you? Our citizens cannot be of much value in this fight for our freedom unless they understand the enemy. They cannot learn from your regular newspapers.

Interest your friends in subscribing to COMMON SENSE so they will be informed. Help strengthen us so we will be backed by a million readers. We have plans.

—o—



## STEVENSON - REDS' CHOICE

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

in Harpers Magazine according to the Daily Worker, 12/29/49. The Daily Worker of 2/13/47 also reported that De Voto headed a group which sought a permit for a meeting for the wife of Gerhardt Eisler, a communist espionage agent who fled the U.S. after exposure.

(8) James Wechsler, editor of the N.Y. Post and a speech writer for Stevenson, was an admitted past member, along with his wife, of the Young Communist League.

(9) The Internal Security Subcommittee took testimony from Admiral Adolphus Staton (March 1954) in which he revealed that Stevenson, as executive assistant to Secretary of the Navy, Frank Knox, shielded 500 identified communists in the wartime merchant marine radio operators service from being fired. These operators provided an espionage network for the Soviet Union. Stevenson called Staton into his office because of the Admiral's efforts as head of a Navy board — authorized by law — to weed out these communist radio operators. Staton defended his actions. Stevenson retorted:

"I don't think we ought to be too hard on the commies."

And that was that. Staton was retired and the program was shelved as of May 19, 1942 upon Stevenson's recommendation. (How Adlai Stevenson Protected Communists, by Hon. A.D. Baumhart, Jr., National Republic, May 1955, pages 1 and 2)

(10) Stevenson was a charter member of the A.D.A., even though he denied it in a letter to Sen Pat McCarran dated 8/23/52. (See A.D.A. World, Feb. 11, 1952, April 20, 1949; Daily Worker, June 21, 1949)

(11) Alger Hiss spoke at a student lecture series at Northwestern University on Nov. 12, 1946, given under the direction of Stevenson as a member of the faculty. (Chicago Tribune, speech by Senator McCarthy, 10/28/52, pp. 1-3)

(12) Stevenson was a member of the central committee of the World Citizens Association, Plank 5 of which stated:

"National States must be subordinate to world civilization: their jurisdiction must be limited by world law, and any local legislation contrary to world law must be null and void."

Stevenson admitted membership in the W.C.A. in 1941; Who's Who listed him as a trustee in 1945; a letterhead lists him on the central committee in 1948. (See citation 11 for source)

(13) The communist party supported Stevenson for the Presidency in 1952. (Daily Worker, 10/19/52). In 1960 The Worker also supported Stevenson for the Democratic nomination. The July 10th issue stated (p. 11):

"Stevenson's candidacy has received a tremendous boost among the voters of the nation since his firm advocacy of a foreign policy aimed at peaceful co-existence with the Soviet Union."

The Worker of July 24th, '60, issued after Kennedy won the nomination, stated (p. 1) in an editorial:

"On the central issue confronting our people, and the people of the entire world, the issue of peace, of peaceful co-existence, of disarmament, the convention passed up Adlai Stevenson, the candidate who showed the greatest sensitivity to the peace desires of the people and to the realities of world problems and the problems of our nation."

(14) Stevenson, as Governor of Illinois, vetoed the Broyles anti-communist bill, which called for the barring of communist teachers in the schools. He attacked "loyalty inquisitions" in this context. (See citation 11)

(15) The Stevenson for President Committee for N.Y. State in 1952, headed by Eleanor Roosevelt, included Anna Rosenberg, identified as a member of the communist party, and communist-fronters Helen Ga-

## TOURS SOVIET UNION FREELY



ELEANOR ROOSEVELT  
—belongs to 100 Communist-fronts.

hagan Douglas, Morris Ernst, Marshall Field, John Gunther, Reinhold Niebuhr, Simon H. Rifkind, and Beardsley Ruml. (Original letterhead)

(16) Stevenson is a member of the law firm of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton and Garrison in that his Chicago firm merged with this New York firm, which supported Alger Hiss and whose members have been cited as party members (Louis Weiss) or as veteran communist-fronters (Lloyd K. Garrison). (See N.Y. Post, 4/21/57 p. 20 and The Lady Chatterley's Lover Case, American Mercury, Jan. 1960)

(17) John Kenneth Galbraith of Harvard was a speech writer and adviser to Stevenson in 1952 and 1956. He is also a communist-fronter. (U.S. News and World Report, 7/25/60, p. 56)

(18) Negro communist-fronters as a group supported Stevenson for President in 1952. An ad in the N.Y. Times, 10/24/52, p. 13, lists Mary McLeod Bethune (28 red-fronts), Hulan Jack (16 red-fronts), Loren Miller, Adam Clayton Powell, Jr. (73 red-fronts), and Channing Tobias (72 red-fronts) among many others.

(19) An ad listing 1,065 Women for Stevenson in the N.Y. Times, 10/30/52, p. 37 contained possibly the longest list of communist-fronting women, or wives of fronters, ever to be compiled in one list. They included: Mrs. Max Ascoli, Sarah Gibson Blading, Louise Dahl-Wolfe, Mrs. David Dubinsky, Mrs. Morris L. Ernst, Josette Frank, Mrs. Tom Glazer, Mrs. Oscar Hamerstein II, Mrs. Sol Hurock, Fanny Hurst, Mrs. David Lillenthal, Louise Rainer, Martha Scott, Irene Selznick, Mrs. Robert Sherwood, Mrs. William L. Shirer, Mrs. John Steinbeck, Mrs. Rex Stout, Mrs. Channing Tobias, Mrs. Mark Van Doren, etc., etc.

(20) The 1960 Stevenson For President Committee of New York listed its Advisory Council in the N.Y. Times of 6/20/60. Veteran communist-fronters on the Council included:

Leonard Bernstein, Cass Canfield, Marc Connelly, Melvyn Douglas, Rev. Donald S. Harrington, Elia Kazen, Dorothy Kenyon, Max Lerner, Reinhold Niebuhr, Elmer Rice, Dore Schary, John Steinbeck, Shepherd Traub, Richard Watts Jr., and others.

NATIONS ARE BRED AND BY THE CHARACTER OF THEIR MEN YE SHALL KNOW THEM.

Gen. George Van Horn Moseley

## Common Sense\*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.  
Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00  
100 Copies — 3.00  
500 Copies — 10.00

## Common Sense\*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each by Christian Educational Assn., 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.  
\*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office

## CHRISTIAN SCIENTISTS PROTEST RED CHINA TIES

Plymouth, Michigan — The Committee of 1,000 Christian Scientists is calling upon all members of Christian Churches to rise in protest against the recognition of Red China. While this Committee is not connected with the Boston headquarters, it is composed of Libertarian Christian Scientists who protest all Leftward trends.

In speaking to a body of college educators at Harriman, New York, Mr. Canham recently said: "Since we cannot destroy the Peiping government, we must learn how to live with it. Of course, this means diplomatic relations and whatever contacts are possible, so as to learn the true dimensions of the problems."

"The editor of the Christian Science Monitor, Mr. Erwin Canham who also happens to be the immediate past president of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, is speaking strictly for himself and not for all Christian Scientists," said R. Roy Pursell, executive secretary of the Committee.

"With Red China making ten times the quantity of dope that the medical world can use, we would be wholly unable to keep out the flood of narcotics if we opened direct trade with them. Even now it is getting the best of us. Morphine is a state industry and is used as a conquering arm of the Reds," said Mr. Pursell.

## LETTER TO EDITOR

A FACTUAL MEMORANDUM  
IN RESPECT TO GHANA,  
WEST AFRICA

Common Sense,  
530 Chestnut Street,  
Union, New Jersey  
To Mr. Conde McGinley, Editor,

Dear Sir:

I wish to report on some factual information I have recently received from an individual returned from GHANA, formerly the Gold Coast West Africa.

—He states that the Israelis have sent into this newly created nation of native born Africans, five committees.

—That these said committees have invested well over sixty million dollars in GHANA buying up the most valuable concessions in the country.

—My informant an Orthodox Hebrew states that the individuals composing these said five committees are the most ruthless gang of cutthroats ever let loose on a primitive people, cheating them right and left, utterly bereft of ethics.

—This orthodox Hebrew said that he cannot stomach the machinations of some of his fellow religionists using the money they receive via frantic personal appeals to the American people's generosity to aid Israel, only to see it used in this manner; you see Mr. McGinley we are not only financing Israel, but to such an extent that we also finance their highly competitive foreign investments, for this learned Hebrew informs me that these groups in Ghana also agitate constantly against the United States and England, and were responsible for the eviction from Ghana of persons with records of constructive work in this country.

—In the light of the foregoing it looks as if the phrase "What fools we mortals be" particularly applies to those Americans falling for these constant canvasses by what some have termed the Bad Breath Societies of Israel.

—For reasons of personal safety since I live in a neighborhood of Anti-American pro Israel sentiment, I must content myself by signing as just

An American Citizen

—o—

Read and pass on!



## Food For Thought

The **TIME** magazine in its issue of Nov. 16, 1959 published an article about the Baptist General Convention which took place in Texas. This Convention adopted such an interesting resolution which we quote:

"Since the Roman Catholic Church is both a religious and an **AMBITIOUS POLITICAL SYSTEM** aspiring to be a state we recommend that our people bear these facts in mind when they are asked to vote for a Catholic official."

Being a Christian but neither a Catholic nor a Baptist I have no axe to grind in this controversy; one thing, however, left me wondering: how could it happen that our Baptist brethren overlooked in their declaration a certain "minority group" the activities of which are incomparably more dangerous to the whole structure of the American life than those of all American Catholics taken together? And did they really **OVERLOOK** this "minority," or some other considerations forced them to keep their mouths shut upon this subject, which is far more probable?

You, my Friends, accuse Catholicism of being an "ambitious political system"; but the "minority group" we are talking about not only cherishes the most ambitious dreams of the political domination over the whole world, but, in addition, wishes to replace our religion with their own which was always inimical to Christianity.

Presumably you studied carefully the teachings of the Catholic Faith before making your declarations; so we shall not repeat them here; but did you do a similar study of the dogmas of the "minority group" as well? If not, then permit me to bring to your attention a very short quotation which, in a nutshell, explains the basic ideals and aims of this "minority:"

"The **FIRM HOPE** of Israel has two facets: that Israel will return one day to the Promised Land of Canaan, (it did already, as you know, with the help of one of your Baptists, a certain Mr. Truman) and that a **PRINCE** will arise in Israel, **THE MESSIAH**, and make his God worshipped by **ALL THE WORLD**, and **HIS PEOPLE ITS RULERS**."

No, this sentence is not taken from the famous "Protocols" nor from any other "anti-jewish" publication; you can find it yourselves in page 1026 of your **Columbia Enc. of 1950** ed. under the word: **JUDAISM**. Is it clear enough?

And if it is so, then why not add to your resolution the following lines: "Especially, however, we wish you to think twice before you vote for a Jewish (Khazar) official who, as a carrier of the spiritual germs of Judaism, is aspiring for the world domination and destruction of all religions except his own."

A believing Catholic CAN be a good American, German, Chinese, etc., — and more than often he IS. But a Jew believing in the ideals of Judaism—as most of them, agnostics including, do—by the very nature of this doctrine, their loyalty must be questioned.

This is, of course, an old, old story so often repeated and so fiercely refuted. It is true, nevertheless, as all the past history of the Jewish people amply proves. The Jews are loyal to the state where they reside only when the interests of Judaism and that state happen to coincide; and if they don't,—then woe to the state!

Many Christians being constantly brainwashed by the Jewish-dominated "media" do not understand this simple truth; they cannot be blamed; but those who DO understand and fear to say it openly are the direct descendants of that breed of men who were so bitterly attacked by **OUR LORD** thousands of years ago . . . . . Oh, ye Christian hypocrites!

## CHRIST-LACKING PRAYERS

—o— Continued from page 2 —o—

Until Monday last, I always thought him to be a profound scholar and a man of the broadest mind and most liberal thought, and if he be correctly quoted in the Sacramento Union of last Monday, I will be compelled to change my opinion. We are charged with intolerance, because in a respectful manner, the suggestions have been made to our Chaplain as I have indicated. If there be any thought of intolerance, it is not with me or my colleagues; it is with the gentlemen who denounced the Chaplain and who denounced the Senate. There are some men, sir, who stand for a creed which is entirely their own, and, to my mind, are so narrow that if they could have their way, they would have a Christianity in which they could believe, and the people who did not believe with them they would expatriate perhaps, and we would go back to the Middle Ages.

"I desire to thank you, Mr. President and the members of the Senate, for the privilege accorded to me to reply to the articles referred to, and I hope I may never have to discuss such a question again upon the floor of the Senate of California." (See Journal of the California Senate for January 23, 1907, Pages 171-172-173.)

Over the years, with others, I have watched the steady gain of the Jewish policy, first called to my attention sixty-three years ago by Senator Wolfe's demands.

Senator Hiram W. Johnson, for example, wrote me from Washington under date of March 27, 1922:

"The prayer of the (Senate) Chaplain, it appears, was censored and he was not permitted to use the name of Jesus Christ. A letter from the Chaplain, in which he lamented the circumstances, was recently read to me. This may be interesting to some of our religious friends."

It was. Many refused to believe it possible.

The drive to eliminate Christ has continued quietly, but actively, down to the present day, and it is resented by Christian people, however acceptable to Christian leaders. Human Events for September 22, 1954, for example, contains the following item:

"Legion: At the recent convention of the American Legion in Washington, an interesting incident occurred. A resolution was adopted by the Legion Auxiliary's Resolutions Committee to 'delete' the words 'Jesus Christ' from Legion Auxiliary Devotions. The Auxiliary is the women's organization of the Legion. One determined lady, hearing about the action of the Auxiliary Resolutions Committee twenty minutes after it happened, swung into action. At her own expense she called long distance to top religious figures in the nation. The next morning important Christians all over the country had responded and the resolution which omitted mention of the name of 'Jesus Christ' in the official prayers of the Legion Auxiliary was withdrawn."

Up to about 1935, the motto of Harvard University was *Veritas Christo et Ecclesiae*. About that time the *Christo et Ecclesiae* was deleted. A Harvard man later asked about it replied: "I think the (Harvard) authorities felt they ought to get away from any narrow connotation".

In his Sunday TV broadcast for April 3rd last, Dan Smoot related in detail that at a convention of Young Methodists the name of Christ was not mentioned once in either prayer or address.

Press and, to some extent at least, religious leaders have been silent on the development, but the interest of laymen and the letter of Senator Johnson quoted above, written in 1922, 38 years ago, in mind, led me to go through six months of

the Congressional Record for 1958, to ascertain what happened to the opening prayers of the two Houses of Congress. The Record showed that mention of Jesus Christ was the exception. Some clergymen prayed in the name of "The Redeemer"; others in the name of the "Captain of our Salvation", but often times the controversial name of Jesus Christ was deleted without reference. For example, the following is taken from the Congressional Record for August 15, 1958:

"The Senate met at 12 o'clock meridian, on the expiration of the recess.

"The Chaplain, Rev. Frederick Brown Harris, D.D., offered the following prayer:

Our fathers' God and ours, in the darkness of our limited knowledge, we turn, in our deep need, to Thee, Whose dwelling place is light without the shadow of our earth-born clouds.

"We lift Thee grateful hearts for the heritage that is ours, bought by toils and tears other than our own.

"Grant that with a new birth of freedom, our dear land in this new day may play its full part in the fulfillment for all men of truly united nations in a leagued commonwealth in which Thy good gifts will be more fairly shared, where no more shall nation lift up sword against nation, but where each people shall enrich the world with the gifts of its excellence.

"So, may Thy kingdom come, and Thy will be done. Amen."

I called the attention of a number of Christian leaders to my findings — and was surprised at their reaction. There was no burst of indignation such as followed Senator Wolfe's request of fifty-three years ago. Indeed, there was quiet acceptance of the situation. For example:

The Rt. Rev. Bishop James A. Pike, J.S.D., S.T.D., wrote under date of January 9, 1959, as follows:

"I am aware that many of the Chaplains to these bodies are under considerable pressure to use prayers which are equally applicable to all of the faiths of the men who are there. I am not at all sure that such an act of courtesy is in any way a betrayal of the Christian Faith. Indeed, the Lord's Prayer itself, as you will note, has no mention of the name of Jesus, even though it is Jesus' own prayer.

"To me, a far more serious move is that on the part of certain people who would have public prayers completely removed from official governmental functions. This seems to me to strike a far greater blow to our faith than the practice of using prayers which are equally acceptable to our Jewish friends."

Some change in attitude in fifty years! What will the next fifty years bring forth? Shall we call it **INFILTRATION**?

"The Jewish News Letter" for May 2nd last observes:

"Several weeks ago, when the National Lutheran Council decided to launch a campaign to convert Jews to Christianity, the orthodox rabbinate in the United States (Rabbinical Council of America) issued a strong protest against the Council's action on the ground that such a campaign aims to make the United States a country with only one religion. It is noteworthy that the American orthodox rabbis, who fear so much the danger of a religious monopoly in the United States in the future, have failed to note the existence of such a monopoly in Israel today; and because of this monopoly thousands of Christian wives, married to Jews, and their children are **FORCED** by the rabbinate to embrace Judaism." (Emphasis that of the "News-Letter".)

**MARXISTS CALL THIS A HATE SHEET FOR THEY FEAR THE PRINTED TRUTH.**